

REMARKS**Art rejections**

The art rejections are respectfully traversed.

Since the reference is complex, Applicants will confine their remarks to those portions of cited by the Examiner, except as otherwise indicated. Applicants make no representation as to the contents of other portions of the references.

Any of the Examiner's rejections and/or points of argument that are not addressed below would appear to be moot in view of the following. Nevertheless, Applicants reserve the right to respond to those rejections and arguments and to advance additional arguments at a later date.

No arguments are waived and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.

Independent claim 1 recites storing retrieved features in a storage medium for subsequent retrieval. This recited feature has the functional advantage of making the later simulcast more efficient and timely.

For this feature, the Examiner cites the Ullman reference at col. 8, ll. 9-15. Applicants have very carefully reviewed the cited text. They do not find that this section of Ullman teaches or suggests that enhanced features are stored for subsequent retrieval. Instead, as far as Applicants can tell the features are retrieved and displayed immediately.

Claim 1 further recites formatting retrieved features to generate a list.

Against this recitation, the Examiner cites col. 8, lines 22-40. This section relates to a list of received URI's. Applicants do not find, after reading the section carefully, that this is a list of retrieved, enhanced features that have been stored. Indeed the section says that the list of URLs are for the purpose of allowing the user to "go back and retrieve ... Web pages," clearly stating that those are not web pages that have already been retrieved.

REMARKS

Applicants accordingly respectfully submit that the Examiner has failed to make a *prima facie* case against claim 1.

Analogously, independent claim 8 recites

(c)(2)(ii) downloading a number of enhanced features from the source of said synchronized web simulcasts for storage in a memory medium for subsequent retrieval; and,

(d) formatting said retrieved enhanced features according to predetermined criteria to generate a content list selectable by said viewer

The Examiner cites column 8 of the reference again for this, but, as has been previously pointed out, this section of the reference relates to retrieving information for immediate display. Also, the content list in the reference is for information to be retrieved, not for information already retrieved. The Examiner further cites col. 9, lines 20-28 of the reference. Applicants have reviewed this section of the reference and find again that it relates to retrieval for immediate display, not for subsequent display.

Independent claim 15 analogously recites

a storage means for storing ... a plurality of enhanced features ... ;

a controlling means ... for formatting said retrieved enhanced features ... to generate a content list selectable by said user

Independent claim 20 analogously recites

a processor configured to ...

store said retrieved enhanced features in a storage medium for subsequent retrieval;

format said retrieved enhanced features according to predetermined criteria to generate a content list

The new claims distinguish even more clearly over the references.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE

Co-pending US Pat. App. Ser. No. US 2002-174445-A1 appears to be related to the present application. A form PTO/SB/08A is attached.

REMARKS

Please charge any fees other than the issue fee to deposit account 14-1270. Please credit any overpayments to the same account.

Applicants respectfully submit that they have addressed each issue raised by the Examiner — except for any that were skipped as moot — and that the application is accordingly in condition for allowance. Allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to	
Mail Stop _____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450	
On _____	(date)
By _____	(signature)

Respectfully submitted,

By Anne E. Barschall
Anne E. Barschall, Reg. No. 31,089
Tel. no. 914-332-1019
Fax no. 914-332-7719
Date of printing: November 12, 2005